Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 1871

www.rsc.org/obc

Efficient palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of ketones and aldehydes[†]

Xiaohu Zhao,^a Delong Liu,^b Fang Xie,^a Yangang Liu^b and Wanbin Zhang^{*a,b}

Received 21st October 2010, Accepted 13th December 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0ob00915f

Palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of ketones, *via* enamines generated *in situ* as nucleophiles, were carried out smoothly with chiral metallocene-based P,N-ligands. Under the same conditions, however, reactions of aldehydes could hardly be observed. Subsequently, this obstacle was resolved by using chiral metallocene-based P,P-ligands. Both ketones and aldehydes afforded excellent enantioselectivities with up to 98% ee and 94% ee, respectively.

Introduction

Palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation has become one of the extremely attractive and powerful tools in asymmetric synthesis.1 However, the use of simple ketones acting as hard nucleophiles has remained a challenge in the palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation.² Generally, simple ketones cannot be used directly in palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation because of their weak nucleophilicity. In order to improve their reaction activities, simple ketones were always converted to their reactive intermediates, ketone enolates,² sometimes by treating with powerful bases such as LDA^{2b} and ClMgN(i-Pr)2.^{2d} Considering that enamines have been widely used as nucleophiles in organic synthesis,3 we have recently reported the first palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation with enamines as nucleophiles,⁴ avoiding using unstable ketone enolates. This procedure contains several advantages, such as inexpensive reagents, simple operation and mild reaction conditions. However, some limitations also exist in enamines: synthesis of the enamines is time-consuming and the stability of enamines is not good enough in the air atmosphere. These obstacles prompted us to pursue a new method to make use of enamines in the reaction system. Herein, we want to report the first palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of ketones and aldehydes via enamines generated in situ as nucleophiles with excellent enantioselectivities and yields.

Results and discussion

It was reported that C_2 -symmetric chiral metallocene-based P,Nligands **1**, **2**^{3a,5} and P,P-ligands **3**, **4**⁶ had been applied in palladiumcatalyzed asymmetric allylic substitutions with high reaction activities and excellent enantioselectivities (Fig. 1). These ligands were also selected here for the palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of ketones and aldehydes.

PAPER



Fig. 1 Chiral ligands.

At first, the palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of 1,3-diphenyl-2-propenyl acetate with cyclohexanone in the presence of pyrrolidine was examined. Details are summarized in Table 1.

Initially, the influence of solvents on the alkylation was investigated using **1a** as ligand. As shown in Table 1, the reaction hardly occurred using THF, CH_2Cl_2 , toluene, Et_2O and 1,4dioxane as solvents (Table 1, entries 1–5). With the increase of polarity of the solvents, the reactions could be carried out successfully. When DMF and DMSO were used as solvents, excellent enantioselectivities were obtained and a much higher yield was obtained in DMSO (entries 6–7). Encouraged by the experimental results, we envisioned that protonic solvents could also give the same result. As expected, the reactions with CH_3OH , EtOH, *n*-PrOH, *i*-PrOH as solvents also proceeded smoothly in excellent enantioselectivities and high yields (entries 8–11). It was shown that the solvent had a pivotal effect on this reaction and DMSO was found to be more efficient than the others based on the asymmetric catalytic behavior.

This reaction was also carried out with a catalytic amount of pyrrolidine from 10-50% molar ratio. Unfortunately, the reaction process was so slow that not more than 50% conversion was obtained even after a week. This result was not improved by adding tertiary amines such as TEA and DIPEA for avoiding

^aSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, P. R. China

^bSchool of Pharmacy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, P. R. China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c0ob00915f

 Table 1
 Allylic alkylation of cyclohexanone with 1,3-diphenyl-2-propenyl acetate^a

Ph	o ↓ →Ph + (Py	Pd(II) / L*	Ph 5a] → O ¹ Ph Ph	Ph 5b
Entry	Solvent	Ligand	Time/h	Yield/% ^b	anti/syn ^{c,d}	Ee/% ^e
1	THF	1a	48	trace	_	
2	CH_2Cl_2	1a	48	trace		
3	Toluene	1a	48	trace		
4	Et_2O	1a	48	trace		
5	1,4-dioxane	1a	48	trace		
6	DMF	1a	20	20	80/20	92/92
7	DMSO	1a	20	95	83/17	94/94
8	CH ₃ OH	1a	15	70	80/20	89/92
9	EtOH	1a	15	90	79/21	90/92
10	<i>n</i> -PrOH	1a	18	90	70/30	91/91
11	<i>i</i> -PrOH	1a	20	90	78/22	90/91
12	DMSO	2a	16	95	79/21	90/90
13	DMSO	3a ^r	14	82	77/23	77/78
14	DMSO	$4a^{f}$	14	85	77/23	81/81
15	DMSO	1b	20	95	80/20	98/97

^{*a*} Molecular ratio: $[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_3)Cl]_2/ligand/substrate/pyrrolidine/$ ketone = 5.0/6.0/200/200/600; reactions were conducted under N₂ $atmosphere; the catalysts were prepared by treating <math>[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Cl]_2$ with the ligand in suitable solvents at room temperature for 1 h before use. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*d*} The absolute configuration of *syn/anti*-products was determined according to ref. 2d. ^{*e*} Determined by HPLC using chiral AD-H column. ^{*f*} 6 mol% ligand was used.

the consumption of pyrrolidine. So, a stoichiometric amount of pyrrolidine was used in the following reaction process.

Then, ligands **2a**, **3a** and **4a** were used with DMSO as solvent in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of pyrrolidine. All ligands gave good to excellent enantioselectivities and yields, albeit a little inferior to **1a** (Table 1, entries 12–14). A bulky group on the oxazolinyl ring had a dramatic effect here, and **1b** having a *tert*butyl group afforded the best result with up to 98% ee and 95% yield in this asymmetric catalysis (Table 1, entry 15). In addition, a higher diastereomeric ratio (70/30–80/20) was obtained with enamines generated *in situ* as nucleophiles, compared with the corresponding results using enamines directly.^{4b}

In addition, cyclopentanone and acetone were also used for this reaction under the above reaction conditions using **1b** as ligand. As shown in Scheme 1 and Scheme 2, excellent enantioselectivities were also obtained for both ketones although only 20% yield was obtained for acetone.



^a Molecular ratio: $[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_3)Cl]_2/ligand/substrate/pyrrolidine/ketone =5.0/6.0/200/200/600, in DMSO in room temperature, completed within 20 h, yield/%: 90, dr: 64/36, ee%: 97/96. The absolute configuration of$ *syn/anti*-products was determined according to**5**.

Scheme 1 Allylic alkylation of cyclopentanone with 1,3-diphenyl-2-propenyl acetate using 1b as ligand^a.

It can be seen from Table 1 that the palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of ketones, *via* enamines generated *in situ* as nucleophiles, gave a higher diastereomeric ratio, compared



^a Molecular ratio: $[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_3)C]]_2/ligand/substrate/pyrrolidine/ketone =5.0/6.0/200/200/600, in DMSO in room temperature, completed within 20 h, vield /%: 20, ee%: 90.$

Scheme 2 Allylic alkylation of acetone with 1,3-diphenyl-2-propenyl acetate using 1b as ligand^a.

with the reactions using enamines directly as nucleophiles which afforded a diastereomer ratio of about 60:40.^{4b} This result could be explained with the possible reaction mechanism shown in Fig. 2. If enamine was directly used as nucleophile, the isomerization of **8** to **9** will occur in sufficient time until the reaction was quenched by water. However, when using enamines generated *in situ* as nucleophiles, two competitive reactions coexisted: the isomerization of **8** to **9** and hydrolysis of **8** to give the product **5** by water generated during the formation of enamine. The hydrolysis might be faster than the isomerization, resulting in a higher diastereomeric ratio than for the case with enamines directly as nucleophiles. The existence of enamine generated *in situ* in the above reaction was testified by NMR.⁷



Fig. 2 A plausible reaction mechanism.

Some comparison experiments were performed to further verify that the higher diastereomeric ratio was caused by the presence of water. On the one hand, if 4 Å molecular sieves were added to the reaction mixture, and as a result, the diastereomeric ratio decreased from 80/20 to 63/37. This means that a lower amount of water results in a lower diastereomeric ratio. On the other hand, if 1 equiv. H₂O was added to the reaction directly with enamine rather than enamine generated *in situ* as nucleophile, the diastereomeric ratio was raised from 55/45 to 75/25. These results obviously shown that the water generated *in situ* was related to the higher diastereomeric ratio.

In addition, to explore the scope of the substrates, we have made several attempts on palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of *n*-hexaldehyde. No reaction occurred for several aldehydes using P,N-ligands 1 and 2 (Table 2, entries 1-4).

Then, attentions were focused on the exploration of more efficient chiral ligands again. To our delight, high catalytic activities and good enantioselectivities were eventually obtained by using P,P-ligands **3** and **4** (Table 2, entries 5–8). The ester group of **3** and **4** with larger steric hindrance gave better enantioselectivity and **4b**

Table 2Allylic alkylation of *n*-hexaldehyde with 1,3-diphenyl-2-propenylacetate using 1-4 and (R)-Binap as ligands^a

Ph	0 ↓ + ∕∕∕		l) / L* Nidine Ph	Ph Ph	Ph CHO Ph
Entry	Ligand	Time/h	Yield/% ^b	anti/syn ^c	Ee/% ^d
1	1a	48	ND		
2	1b	48	ND		_
3	2a	48	ND		_
4	2b	48	ND		_
5	3a	16	70	53/47	91/91
6	3b	16	72	53/47	94/94
7	4a	11	90	54/46	90/90
8	4b	11	90	56/44	91/91
9	(R)-Binap	20	74	52/48	86/86

^{*a*} Molecular ratio: $[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_3)Cl]_2/ligand/substrate/pyrrolidine/$ aldehyde = 5.0/12.0/200/200/600; reactions were conducted under N₂ $atmosphere; the catalysts were prepared by treating <math>[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_3)Cl]_2$ with ligand in DMSO at room temperature for 1 h before use. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*d*} Determined by the HPLC using chiral AD-H column.

Table 3Allylic alkylation of several aldehydes with 1,3-diphenyl-2-propenyl acetate using 4b as ligand^a

Ph	O O Ph	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ Ph \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} R^{1} \\ R^{2} \\ R^{2} \end{array} H \begin{array}{c} Pd(II) / 4b \\ pyrrolidine \end{array} \begin{array}{c} H \\ \bullet \\ Ph \end{array} \begin{array}{c} R^{2} \\ Ph \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} H \\ Ph \\ Ph \end{array} \begin{array}{c} R^{2} \\ Ph \end{array} H \begin{array}{c} H \\ Ph \end{array} H $						
	R ¹ R ¹ R ¹ R ¹	=ethyl, =ethyl, =cyclohex =phenyl, =benzyl,	R ² =H R ² =methyl yl, R ² =H R ² =H R ² =H	11 12 13 14 15	a 1 a 1 a 1 a 1 a 1	1b 2b 4b 5b		
Entry	\mathbf{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	Time/h	Yield/% ^b	anti/syn ^c	Ee/% ^d		
1	Et Et	H Me	11 11	86 88	55/45 54/46	92/93 89/89		
3	Cy	Н	11	62		79		
4 5	Ph Bn	H H	15 15	60 71	56/44 53/47	87/87 90/90		

^{*a*} Molecular ratio: $[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_3)Cl]_2/ligand/substrate/pyrrolidine/alde$ hyde = 5.0/12.0/200/200/600; reactions were conducted under N₂ at $mosphere; the catalysts were prepared by treating <math>[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_3)Cl]_2$ with ligands in DMSO at room temperature for 1 h before use. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*d*} Determined by the HPLC using chiral AD-H, OD-H and OJ-H column.

afforded a much higher yield but a little lower enantioselectivity than **3b** (entries 5–8). Furthermore, (R)-Binap was also used in the above reaction but lower enantioselectivities and catalytic activities were obtained compared with **3** and **4** (entry 9).

Thus, ligand **4b** was applied in the palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation reactions for several other aldehydes (Table 3).

As shown in Table 3, the reactions with the linear aldehydes proceeded smoothly in high yields and excellent enantioselectivities (Table 3, entries 1–2). When the linear aldehyde was changed to a cyclic aldehyde, good yield and enantioselectivity was also obtained (entry 3). Then, to further investigate the influences of aldehydes on the reaction, we changed aliphatic aldehyde to aldehyde with aromatic groups in the side chain. As expected, the reactions afforded good yields and excellent enantioselectivities

too (entries 4–5). These results showed that both aliphatic and aromatic aldehydes could serve as efficient nucleophiles with good to excellent yields and enantioselectivities here. It was noticed that the diastereomer ratio is not satisfactory mainly due to the faster rate of isomerization of the intermediate than hydrolysis.

Finally, palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of other allylic acetates, such as cyclohex-2-enyl acetate and (E)-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-yl acetate, with cyclohexanone and *n*-hexaldehyde were also examined. Using **1b** and **4b** as chiral ligands respectively, high yields (>80%) were obtained for both cyclohexanone and *n*-hexaldehyde as nucleophiles. However, very low enantioselectivities (<20% ee) were obtained.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an efficient palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of ketones and aldehydes, *via* enamines generated *in situ* as nucleophiles. Firstly, palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylations of ketones were carried out smoothly with excellent enantioselectivities by using chiral ferrocene P,N-ligand. Under the same conditions, however, reactions of aldehydes could hardly occur. Subsequently, this obstacle was resolved by using the metallocene-based P,P-ligands with only planar chirality. The reaction could be expanded to a series of both aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes with excellent enantiose-lectivities. It was obvious that both ketones and aldehydes could achieve excellent results in the palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation.

Experimental

General

All reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere, and the workup was carried out in air. The reaction solvents were distilled prior to use (tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodiumbenzophenone ketyl; dichloromethane, N,N-dimethylformamide and toluene were distilled from CaH₂). The commercially available reagents were used without further purification. The substrate of asymmetric allylic alkylation was prepared according to literature procedure. TLC was run on 2 cm × 5 cm silica plate. Column chromatography was run on silica gel (100–200 mesh). ¹H NMR (400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Varian MERCURY plus-400 spectrometer. The ee values were determined by HPLC using Daicel Chiralcel AD-H, OD-H, OJ-H column.

General procedure for palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation

A mixture of ligand (for 1 and 2 7.5 μ mol; for 3 and 4 15 μ mol) and [Pd(η^3 -C₃H₃)Cl]₂ (2.3 mg, 6.3 μ mol) in dry DMSO (1 mL) was stirred at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere for 1 h and 1,3diphenyl-2-propenyl acetate (126 mg, 0.500 mmol) was added for another 10 min followed by the addition of pyrrolidine (0.50 mmol) and ketone or aldehyde (1.50 mmol). The reaction was monitored by TLC for the disappearance of 1,3-diphenyl-2-propenyl acetate. The reaction mixture was quenched by iced saturated NH₄Cl solution (10 mL) for 2 h and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl ether (5 mL × 3). The combined organic extract was washed with water, brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated under reduced pressure after filtration. The ratio of *anti*- and *syn*-configuration⁷ was determined by ¹H NMR of the mixture and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography with petrol ether-ethyl acetate (10:1) to afford pure product of *anti*-configuration and *syn*-configuration, respectively. For the determination of ee value by HPLC, a mixture of the products of *anti*- and *syn*-configuration was used.

(S)-2-((S,E)-1,3-Diphenylallyl)cyclohexanone (5a)^{4b}

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 1.33–1.41 (m, 1H), 1.56–1.63 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.81 (m, 3H), 1.90–1.95 (m, 1H), 2.31–2.46 (m, 2H), 2.83–2.89 (m, 1H), 3.87 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 16 Hz, 1H), 7.12–7.32 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 24.2, 28.8, 32.4, 42.5, 48.6, 56.1, 126.5, 126.8, 127.4, 128.6, 128.7, 128.9, 130.7, 132.2, 137.6, 140.1, 212.7.

(R)-2-((S,E)-1,3-Diphenylallyl)cyclohexanone (5b)^{4b}

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 1.57–1.77 (m, 3H), 1.86–2.10 (m, 2H), 2.15–2.37 (m, 3H), 2.85–2.91 (m, 1H), 3.97 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (dd, J = 9.6, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.14–7.33 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 24.8, 28.6, 32.1, 42.6, 48.7, 55.9, 126.5, 126.6, 127.5, 128.1, 128.7, 128.8, 131.3, 131.6, 137.5, 143.5, 211.8.

(S)-2-((R,E)-1,3-Diphenylallyl)cyclopentanone (6a)^{4b}

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 1.70–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.93–2.03 (m, 3H), 2.16–2.23 (m, 1H), 2.27–2.34 (m, 1H), 2.55–2.61 (m, 1H), 4.09–4.12 (m, 1H), 6.40 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (s, 1H), 7.17–7.35 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 20.9, 26.1, 39.1, 48.0, 55.1, 126.5, 126.7, 127.6, 128.1, 128.7, 128.8, 129.3, 132.6, 137.4, 143.0, 219.3.

(R)-2-((R,E)-1,3-Diphenylallyl)cyclopentanone (6b)^{4b}

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 1.70–1.86 (m, 1H), 1.94–2.11 (m, 3H), 2.18–2.28 (m, 1H), 2.29–2.38 (m, 1H), 2.58–2.64 (m, 1H), 4.05–4.10 (m, 1H), 6.44 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 7.20–7.41 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 21.0, 26.1, 39.1, 48.0, 55.1, 126.4, 126.6, 128.7, 129.2, 129.3, 132.5, 132.6, 132.7, 137.4, 143.1, 219.3.

(E)-4,6-Diphenylhex-5-en-2-one (7)⁸

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.93–2.97 (m, 2H), 4.05–4.12 (dd, J = 6.8, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.28–6.41 (m, 2H), 7.17–7.36 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 21.0, 26.1, 39.1, 48.0, 55.1, 126.4, 126.6, 128.7, 129.2, 129.3, 132.5, 132.6, 132.7, 137.4, 143.1, 219.3.

(E)-2-(1,3-Diphenylallyl)hexanal (10a and 10b)

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 0.77–0.81 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 3H), 0.83–0.88 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 3H), 1.11–1.38 (m, 8H), 1.47–1.72 (m, 4H), 2.72–2.82 (m, 2H), 3.67–3.77 (m, 2H), 6.25–6.52 (m, 4H), 7.16–7.38 (m, 20H), 9.47–9.50 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 9.62–9.66 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 14.0, 14.1, 22.8, 22.9,

27.6, 27.7, 29.3, 29.5, 50.2, 50.4, 56.6, 56.8, 126.5, 126.6, 127.1, 127.2, 127.7, 128.1, 128.2, 128.7, 128.8, 129.0, 129.1, 130.4, 130.8, 131.6, 131.9, 137.0, 137.1, 141.5, 141.6, 204.6, 204.9. HRMS (EI⁺) m/z calculated for C₂₁H₂₄O [M + 1]⁺: 293.1905; found 293.1911. IR(ν /cm⁻¹): 3086, 3063, 3030, 2957, 2929, 2871, 2860, 1724, 1693, 1638, 1603, 1566, 1493, 1455, 1416, 1379, 966, 749, 699.

(E)-2-Ethyl-3,5-diphenylpent-4-enal (11a and 11b)⁹

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 0.82–0.87 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.91–0.96 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.39–1.79 (m, 4H), 2.69–2.77 (m, 2H), 3.71–3.77 (m, 2H), 6.27–6.51 (m, 2H), 7.18–7.40 (m, 20H), 9.49–9.51 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 9.65–9.68 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 11.7, 11.7, 21.1, 21.2, 49.9, 50.2, 126.5, 126.6, 127.1, 127.2, 127.7, 128.1, 128.3, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 129.0, 129.1, 129.2, 130.5, 130.8, 131.6, 131.9.

(S)-2-((S,E)-1,3-Diphenylallyl)cyclohexanone (12a)

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 0.96–1.02 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 1.75–1.79 (m, 1H), 2.67–2.73 (m, 1H), 3.96–4.01 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.31–2.46 (m, 2H), 2.83–2.89 (m, 1H), 3.87 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 6.25–6.31 (dd, J = 8.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.42–6.47 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.37 (m, 10H), 9.79–9.81 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 17.6, 21.8, 28.2, 48.0, 61.7, 126.5, 127.1, 127.7, 128.1, 128.7, 129.1, 131.0, 131.6, 137.0, 141.7, 205.9. HRMS (EI⁺) m/z calculated for C₂₀H₂₂O [M + 1]⁺: 278.1671; found 278.1668. IR (ν /cm⁻¹): 3088, 3060, 3027, 2960, 2926, 2871, 2855, 1721, 1659, 1599, 1578, 1494, 1464, 1452, 1389, 1371, 965, 799, 745, 697.

(*E*)-1-(1,3-Diphenylallyl)cyclohexanecarbaldehyde (13)

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 0.09–1.58 (m, 8H), 1.99–2.03 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 2.16–2.19 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 3.46–3.48 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 6.44–6.68 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.56–6.62 (dd, J = 9.6, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.40 (m, 10H), 9.61 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 23.1, 23.2, 25.7, 30.5, 30.6, 53.2, 57.9, 126.6, 127.1, 127.7, 128.1, 128.6, 128.8, 129.3, 133.1, 137.3, 139.9, 208.5. HRMS (EI⁺) m/z calculated for C₂₂H₂₄O [M + 1]⁺: 304.1827; found 304.1833. IR (ν/cm^{-1}): 3086, 3060, 3028, 2931, 2855, 1703, 1600, 1582, 1495, 1451, 1415, 965, 770, 745, 701.

(*E*)-2,3,5-Triphenylpent-4-enal (14a and 14b)

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 4.09–4.13 (m, 2H), 4.31–4.37 (m, 2H), 6.12–6.24 (m, 2H), 6.43–6.57 (m, 2H), 7.08–7.45 (m, 30H), 9.66–9.68 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 9.83–9.85 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 49.8, 50.2, 64.1, 64.5, 126.4, 126.6, 126.8, 127.3, 127.5, 127.7, 127.8, 128.1, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 128.9, 129.0, 129.1, 129.2, 129.3, 129.7, 130.0, 130.3, 130.9, 131.9, 132.1, 134.4, 134.5, 137.1, 137.3, 140.7, 141.6, 199.4, 199.8. HRMS (EI⁺) *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₃H₂₀O [M + 1]⁺: 312.1514; found 312.1512. IR (*v*/cm⁻¹): 3082, 3060, 3027, 2924, 2852, 2818, 2716, 1723, 1683, 1664, 1599, 1577, 1493, 1452, 1387, 965, 745, 697.

(2*S*,3*R*,*E*)-2-Benzyl-3,5-diphenylpent-4-enal (15a)

Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 Hz): δ 2.68–2.96 (m, 2H), 3.20–3.28 (m, 1H), 3.76–3.83 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.34–6.49 (m, 2H), 7.05–7.43 (m, 15H), 9.73–9.75 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H). ¹³C

NMR (CDCl₃, 100 Hz): δ 34.3, 50.0, 58.3, 126.6, 126.7, 127.3, 127.9, 128.3, 128.7, 128.8, 129.1, 129.2, 129.8, 132.4, 136.9, 138.8, 141.4, 204.4. HRMS (EI⁺) *m/z* calculated for C₂₄H₂₂O [M + 1]⁺: 326.1701; found 326.1671. IR (*v*/cm⁻¹): 3083, 3060, 3027, 2924, 2851, 2724, 1724, 1681, 1600, 1583, 1494, 1453, 1393, 966, 745, 698.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20872091), Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (09JC1407800) and Nippon Chemical Industrial Co, Ltd. We thank Prof. Tsuneo Imamoto and Dr Masashi Sugiya for helpful discussion and the Instrumental Analysis Center of Shanghai Jiao Tong University for HRMS analysis.

Notes and references

1 For selected reviews: (a) B. M. Trost and D. L. Van Vranken, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 395-422; (b) A. Pfaltz, M. Lautens, in, Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis, E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz, H. Yamamoto, ed. Springer, New York, 1999; Vol. 2, p 833; (c) B. M. Trost and M. L. Crawley, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 2921-2943; (d) P. J. Guiry and C. P. Saunders, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2004, 346, 497-537; (e) L. Zhan and S. M. Ma, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 258–297. For selected papers: (f) H. Steinhagen, M. Reggelin and G. Helmchen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 2108-2110;(g) W. Zhang, Y. I. Yoneda, T. Kida, Y. Nakatsuji and I. Ikeda, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1998, 9, 3371-3380; (h) Y. Imai, W. Zhang, Y. Kida, Y. Nakatsuji and I. Ikeda, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 4343–4346; (i) T. Hayashi, M. Kawatsura and Y. Uozumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 1681–1687; (j) U. Bremberg, M. Larhed, C. Moberg and A. Hallberg, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 1082-1083; (k) S. L. You, X. L. Hou, L. X. Dai and X. Z. Zhu, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 149-151; (1) M. Ogasawara, K. Yoshida and T. Hayashi, Organometallics, 2001, 20, 3913-3917; (m) T. Hayashi, A. Okada, T. Suzuka and M. Kawatsura, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 1713-1715; (n) N. Oohara, K. Katagiri and T. Imamoto, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2003, 14, 2171-2175; (o) G. A. Molander, J. P. Burke and P. J. Carroll, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 8062-8069; (p) J. W. Faller, J. C. Wilt and J. Parr, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 1301-1304; (q) X. L. Hou and N. Sun, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 4399-4401; (r) H. Yorimitsu and K. Oshima, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4435–4439; (s) W. H. Zheng, N. Sun and X. L. Hou, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 5151-5154; (t) B. M. Trost and A. Aponick, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 3931-3933; (u) R. Shintani, W.-L. Duan and T. Hayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 5628–5629; (v) B. M. Trost, X. Jiayi and M. Reichle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 282–283; (w) T. Imamoto, M. Nishimura, A. Koide and K. Yoshida, J. Org. Chem., 2007, **72**, 7413–7416; (x) B. M. Trost and D. A. Thaisrivongs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, **130**, 14092–14093; (y) W. Liu, D. Chen, X. Z. Zhu, X. L. Wan and X. L. Hou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, **131**, 8734–8735; (z) X. Caldentey and M. A. Pericàs, J. Org. Chem., 2010, **75**, 2628–2644.

- 2 (a) B. M. Trost and C. R. Self, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 468-473; (b) C. Carfagna, L. Mariani, A. Musco and G. Sallese, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 3924–3927; (c) B. M. Trost and G. M. Schroeder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 6759-6760; (d) M. Braun, F. Laicher and T. Meier, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 3494-3497; (e) B. M. Trost, G. M. Schroeder and J. Kristensen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 3492-3495; (f) D. C. Behenna and B. M. Stoltz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 15044-15045; (g) M. Braun and T. Meier, Synlett, 2005, 2968-2972; (h) B. M. Trost and G. M. Schroeder, Chem.-Eur. J., 2005, 11, 174-184; (i) B. M. Trost and M. U. Frederiksen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 308-310; (j) J. T. Mohr, D. C. Behenna, A. M. Harned and B. M. Stoltz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6924-6927; (k) M. Braun and T. Meier, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 6952-6955; (1) M. Braun and T. Meier, Synlett, 2006, 661-676; (m) M. Braun, T. Meier, F. Laicher, P. Meletis and M. Fidan, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2008, 350, 303-314; (n) N. H. Sherden, D. C. Behenna, S. C. Virgil and B. M. Stoltz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 6840-6843
- 3 (a) K. Hiroi, J. Abe, K. Suya, S. Sato and T. Koyama, J. Org. Chem., 1994,
 59, 203–213; (b) N. Momiyama and H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
 2005, 127, 1080–1081; (c) I. Ibrahem and A. Córdova, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 1952–1956.
- 4 (a) D. Liu, F. Xie and W. Zhang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 7591–7594; (b) X. Zhao, D. Liu, F. Xie and W. Zhang, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **65**, 512– 517; (c) Hartwig reported an Ir-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation with enamines: D. J. Weix and J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 7720–7721.
- 5 (a) W. Zhang, Y. Adachi, T. Hirao and I. Ikeda, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1996, 7, 451–460; (b) W Zhang, T. Hirao and I. Ikeda, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 4545–4548; (c) D. Liu, F. Xie and W. Zhang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 585–588.
- 6 (a) W. Zhang, T. Kida, Y. Nakatsuji and I. Ikeda, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, 37, 7995–7998; (b) W. Zhang, T. Shimanuki, T. Kida, Y. Nakatsuji and I. Ikeda, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, 64, 6247–6251; (c) D. Liu, F. Xie and W. Zhang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 72, 6992–6997; (d) F. Xie, D. Liu and W. Zhang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, 49, 1012–1015; (e) W. Zhang, D. Liu, *Chiral, Ferrocenes in Asymmetric Catalysis: Synthesis and Applications*, L. X Dai, X. L. Hou, H. Yamamoto, ed. WILEY-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2010; Vol. 14, p 175–214.
- 7 See Supporting Information: Table 3 of page S4.
- 8 E. C. Burger and J. A. Tunge, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 4113-4115.
- 9 S. G. Nelson, C. J. Bungard and K. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 13000–13001.